

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,966	08/01/2003	Virginie Harle	PET-2095	3663
23599	7590 01/30/2006		EXAM	INER
MILLEN, V	WHITE, ZELANO & B	NGUYEN, CAM N		
	ENDON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ARTONII	TAFER NUMBER
			1754	
		DATE MAIL ED: 01/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/631,966	HARLE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Cam N. Nguyen	1754			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/08	3/05 (an amendment/response).				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>2-7 and 9</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>10-13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>1,8 and 14</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction of the correction of the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	c(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413\			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendment and remarks, filed November 08, 2005, has been made of record and entered. Claims 1-3, 8, & 14 have been amended.

Claims 1-14 are currently pending and under consideration.

Status of Withdrawn Claims

2. Claims 10-13 were previously withdrawn due to nonelected invention. Since the product claims are found allowable, they have now been rejoined with the elected claims.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 1, 8, & 14 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- A. In claim 1, it is suggested that applicants change ", tH₂O" to -- · tH₂O-- in all chemical formulae.
- B. In claim 8 & 14, it is suggested applicants rewrite the chemical compounds in accordance with the chemical formulae as set forth in claim 1. For Example, "Co₂Mo₁₀O₃₈H₄Co₃" wherein M is "Co₃" which should have been placed in the front of this chemical formula. So, it should be written as -- Co₃Co₂Mo₁₀O₃₈H₄--. Same corrections should be made to other chemical compounds in these claims as well.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,966

Art Unit: 1754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 (Second Paragraph)

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 10-13 provide for the use of "the catalyst", but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/631,966 Page 4

Art Unit: 1754

Citations

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All references are cited for related art. See PTO-892 Form attached.

Conclusion

- 9. Claims 1-14 are pending. Claims 1, 8, & 14 are objected. Claims 10-13 are rejected. Claims 2-7 & 9 are allowed.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Cam N Nguyen, whose telephone number is 571-272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, R, & F, 9:00 AM 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,966

Art Unit: 1754

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen/cnn January 22, 2006

Art Unit: 1754